Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC., : CIVIL ACTION Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 TWITTER, INC, EVERBRIDGE, INC., : RAVE WIRELESS, INC., and FEDERAL SIGNAL CORP., Defendants. : NO. 09-865 (LPS) 9 10 Wilmington, Delaware 11 Thursday, December 15, 2011 Telephone Conference 12 13 BEFORE: HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, U.S.D.C.J. 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 17 POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP BY: PHILIP A. ROVNER, ESQ. 18 and 19 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP 20 BY: PAUL ANDRE, ESQ. (Silicon Valley, California) 21 Counsel for Cooper Notification, Inc. 22 23 24 Brian P. Gaffigan Registered Merit Reporter 25

12/16/2011 07:11:15 AM

those are the spreadsheets, Mr. Milburn could do so. But 30(b)(6) notice. Our preparation of witnesses were as well that was all he was willing to do, that is all he is going as we could do that with all the documents and evidence that 3 to do. was available to us at the time. 3 They wanted to dig into the details of how those THE COURT: Mr. Andre, was there a topic that Mr. 4 4 documents, those numbers were created. That is going to be Milburn was designated for that you ultimately de-designated 5 5 him and Mr. Lowry was the person who was designated eventually another witness. 6 7 THE COURT: And on the authentication topic and 7 on that topic? 8 document collection, are you able to say at this point MR. ANDRE: Yes, your Honor. It was on the 8 whether there will be any challenges to the authenticity of 9 finances, which we don't believe was relevant. 9 documents that you have produced? Challenges from you? 10 They said they wanted to take finances. 10 MR. ANDRE: No, your Honor. There will be no 11 We said we don't believe Cooper's finances are 11 challenges on authentication of documents. relevant to this case, and we have had this issue many 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 13 times. And, 14 MR. ANDRE: Mr. Milburn was the one who They said they want to take a witness on that 14 authenticated those were the financial documents. They say 15 15 topic. what they say. They are just spreadsheets. 16 We said, well, we have one person, our 17 But they want to know how the documents are controller, that can testify on the spreadsheets. And, 17 generated, and that is going to be another witness. 18 What we did, we took the QuickBooks, and I 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Let me turn it back to believe that is an issue that was raised in the other 19 Mr. Leach. Is there anything you wish to add? 20 letter, and we ran spreadsheets for them, detailed it all 20 21 MR. LEACH: I do. I would like to address 21 ourselves. almost everything he said. Let's start with the finances. 22 The person that actually did that was Mr. Lowry. 22 23 The topic is the unit sales, customer revenue, We told them that would be the person that could testify to 23

15

24

25

Two of the defendants choose to go. I believe Twitter even chose not to show up at that because they don't believe it is relevant either, obviously. So they close to take Lowry. We made him available out of pure cooperation

it, if they wanted to do it. We don't think it is relevant

instead of objecting and having to come to your Honor for a motion to compel.

THE COURT: Is this Topic 28 on finance, 8

9 Mr. Andre?

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

17

18

20

whatsoever.

MR. ANDRE: That's correct. 10

whether relevant or not, there is no protective order in 12 place? You chose to designate Mr. Milburn. He wasn't prepared at the time of the deposition that counsel were at to depose him on Topic 28, and then you redesignated 16 Mr. Lowry on Topic 28 and that necessitated counsel for defendants to be in New York for the sole purpose of taking Mr. Lowry's deposition on Topic No. 28.

THE COURT: So isn't it the case then that

Is that all correct? 19

21 your Honor. I would say that the fact of the matter is we are trying to consolidate as much as we could, but Mr.

MR. ANDRE: I wouldn't characterize it that way,

Lowry -- if we are ever going to provide a detailed analysis 23

of Topic 28, which counsel required, it would be Mr. Lowry. 24 If they wanted to authenticate the documents, 25

5 of 17 sheets

1 claimed invention.

This topic is directly relevant to the 2 Georgia-Pacific factors of the hypothetical negotiation. 3

We were not solely seeking to authenticate documents. We 4 wanted to understand what documents they had. We wanted to 5

cost of goods sold, profit, expenses for each of Cooper's

products, if any, that allegedly practice or embody the

understand what these spreadsheets meant. There are sales 6 numbers in these documents that we needed to understand and 7

someone was supposed to testify to that. 8

For him to say it wasn't relevant is simply not 9 the case. Those documents are absolutely relevant or this 10 topic is absolutely relevant, and it necessitated us to go 11 to New York and get this information. 12

His point about there being 100 topics. Many, 13 if not -- maybe there is three that don't overlap. All of 14 these topics pretty much overlap. The defendants tried to 15 consolidate and almost copy verbatim the topics and so there 16 weren't 100 topics that they had to deal with. 17

I think, your Honor, you correctly said that 18 they had a duty to move for a protective order. They never 19 20 did that. And,

Then on this point there is no one at Cooper that has personal knowledge of these facts? He is just 22 wrong about that. Unless there has been a few witnesses 23 that have since left, I don't know but I think at the time 24 they were there.

17

18

I think Mr. Brabec works for Cooper. He was one 2 of the inventors and one of the founders of Roam Secure, the company way back when that dealt with a lot of this, a lot these issues back then. And,

The same with Dan Park. He was also back then. 6 He still works at Cooper and he was one of the inventors 7 and founders of Roam Secure, the company that allegedly developed the inventive technology. And,

8

9

14

16

17

20

24

3

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

20

21

21

Also, they represent the other inventors and founders of the company that are even third-party witnesses. 10 11 They certainly had access to these people. They certainly could have had Milburn or Hearn talk to them. So I'll leave 12 13 it at that.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I am going to grant 15 the request for sanctions in connection with the deposition which I am told was on November 22nd of Mr. Lowry.

Topic 28 sounds as if it is a relevant topic 18 but, more importantly, there was no protective order in 19 place to make it improper to question a 30(b)(6) witness on Topic 28. And,

In fact, Mr. Milburn was designated by Cooper to 22 testify as their 30(b)(6) witness on Topic 28. He was not 23 prepared to do so. He was de-designated, and then Mr. Lowry was designated on that topic. That necessitated defense

counsel to be in New York to depose Mr. Lowry on Topic 28 25

19

when they had no other reason to be in New York. So I do 2 believe that sanctions are appropriate.

Now, mind you, I am not at this point ruling that 4 all defense counsel for all defendants will be reimbursed 100 percent of all of their costs associated with the November 22nd deposition. I will make a specific determination as to precisely how sanctionable this conduct is after I receive further documentation. And,

I will and do hereby direct the parties to meet and confer and to propose to the Court by Monday a schedule 11 by which defense counsel will disclose precisely what it 12 is they are seeking in terms of a monetary sanction and to 13 provide a brief written argument in support of it and to allow Cooper an opportunity to respond and defendants to briefly reply to that. After I have that full record, I'll make a specific determination as to the amount of sanctions.

With respect to the option to take an additional 30(b)(6) deposition on authentication and document collection 18 19 issues, the request is granted to that as well. The defendants have that option and may take an additional 30(b)(6) deposition on those topics.

22 Let's move then quickly on to the other two 23 letters. Here, it is disputes raised by Everbridge against 24 Cooper. So let me hear first briefly from Everbridge.

25 MR. COVAZOS: Good morning, your Honor. This is 1 Ed Cavazos for Everbridge. I am going to address the first 2 issue raised in our letter. Then any colleague, Conor

3 Civins will address the remainder.

4 I will do this very quickly because I know there 5 are several issues in our letter.

6 This first issue we think is a relatively straightforward one, involves an e-mail that was produced 7 8 during the normal course of discovery by Cooper. As your 9 Honor may see when you review the e-mail, the e-mail 10 makes it pretty apparent that Cooper personnel had some 11 communications with ex-Everbridge employees in which we 12 believe those Everbridge employees disclosed certain trade 13 secrets to Cooper.

14 Our request is very simple, your Honor. That 15 is not as Cooper has argued it is, a de-designation, because 16 we believe these are trade secrets and we don't want this 17 document de-designated and losing protection under the 18 protective order but rather a very limited opportunity to 19 share with our client the first two pages of that document, 20 the ones that we attached to our letter brief, so that our 21 client understands the extent to which their trade secrets 22 may have been compromised, our client may take steps to 23 potentially mitigate further compromise of his trade secrets, 24 to perhaps identify which of its ex-employees are engaging in the dissemination of their trade secrets. 25

21

1 We thought it was a pretty straightforward 2 request, your Honor. If you look at the face of this letter, 3 we don't see anything in this letter other than facts and the 4 information regarded to our client and us. We saw no basis 5 for any reason that Cooper would object to us showing this 6 letter for our clients so they could determine how best to 7 respond to it.

8 You will see in the correspondence leading up 9 to this, we even offered that Cooper, if there was something they thought was somehow confidential to their client embodied 10 in this letter that we would be willing to consider what 11 12 that was, whether it needed to be redacted. We didn't get any such specificity from them. Quite honestly, your Honor, 13 14 we're a little puzzled by our inability to show our client 15 this letter which purports to contain their trade secrets. 16

THE COURT: Are you willing to represent as to what you would or would not put this to or that your client would?

MR. COVAZOS: I don't think I am in a position to represent that because I haven't been able to discuss the specific contents of the letter with my client. I mean I think it would be inappropriate for me to limit my client's reaction to this before they understand the severity to which the dissemination of these trade secrets may have hurt them.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Exhibit B Redacted in its entirety

01: 11707733.1 070582.1001

Exhibit C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Civil Action No. 09-865-LPS
TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation, EVERBRIDGE INC., a Delaware corporation, RAVE WIRELESS INC., a Delaware corporation, and FEDERAL SIGNAL CORP., a Delaware corporation,))))))))
Defendants.)

DECLARATION OF THOMAS R. LEACH

- I, Thomas J. Leach, declare as follows:
- 1. My name is Thomas J. Leach. I am over twenty-one years of age and am fully competent to make this Declaration. All statements contained herein are true and correct within my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am an equity partner with the law firm of Merchant & Gould P.C. and have been a member of the Minnesota State Bar since 2001. I am entering my tenth year as an intellectual property litigation attorney.
- 3. I have an undergraduate degree from Iowa State University in Chemical Engineering.
 - 4. I am counsel for Defendant Federal Signal Corporation ("Federal Signal").
- 5. I prepared for and attended the depositions of both Mr. Milburn and Mr. Hern on October 26 and 27. Mr. Milburn was not prepared to testify on Federal Signal's 30(b)(6) topic regarding Cooper's financials and Cooper withdrew Mr. Milburn as their corporate designee on

that topic and others. Cooper designated David Lowery to cover the 30(b)(6) topic on Cooper's financial information and made him available on November 22, 2011.

- 6. I was unable to attend and take Mr. Lowery's deposition in New York on November 22, 2011, as I had another deposition in California that day in another matter. Thus, Chris Davis prepared for and took Mr. Lowery's deposition in New York.
- 7. I reviewed Merchant & Gould's fees in this matter regarding Cooper's failure to properly prepare its 30(b)(6) witnesses and the correspondence and legal analysis in determining whether to bring this motion.
- 8. I assisted in the drafting, editing and arguing of the motion for sanctions filed by Federal Signal on December 11, 2011, against the Plaintiff, Cooper Notification.
- 9. On December 9, 2011, I spent 1.7 hours reviewing the testimony of Mr. Milburn and Mr. Hearn and analyzing legal issues regarding 30(b)(6) witness preparation.
- 10. On December 11, 2011, I spent 3.8 hours analyzing legal issues regarding sanctions, reviewing the record, and preparing Federal Signal's letter brief for Sanctions, Docket No. 341.
- 11. On December 12, 2011, I spent 3.2 hours editing, reviewing exhibits, and finalizing Federal Signal's letter brief for Sanctions, Docket No. 341.
- 12. On December 15, 2011, I spent 2.2 hours preparing for and arguing Federal Signal's motion for sanction.
- 13. On December 20, 2011, I spent 1.8 hours reviewing my time entries, drafting and editing Federal Signal Corp.'s December 22, 2011 Brief in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and this Declaration.

- 14. On December 21, 2011, I spent 0.8 hour reviewing and revising my declaration in support of Federal Signal Corp.'s December 22, 2011 Brief in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs.
- 15. The amount of time I spent on these issues was reasonable and necessary.

 Moreover, the time spent on these issues was due solely to Cooper not providing a prepared 30(b)(6) witness and having to move for sanctions against Cooper for such conduct.
- 16. I spent a total amount of 13.5 hours analyzing legal issues regarding preparing 30(b)(6) witnesses and sanctions, preparing Federal Signal's motion for sanctions and arguing that motion, and preparing Federal Signal December 22, 2011 Brief in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and my supporting declaration.
 - 17. My regular hourly rate for this matter is \$450.00 per hour.
 - 18. The total dollar amount I spent on the above tasks was \$6,075.
- 19. The time spent related to the Motion for Sanctions have been, or will be entered into the firm's billing records, and have been, or will be, billed to Federal Signal.

Executed this 22 day of December, 2011.

Thomas J. Leach

Exhibit D

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	Civil Action No. 09-865-LPS
TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation, EVERBRIDGE INC., a Delaware corporation, RAVE WIRELESS INC., a Delaware corporation, and FEDERAL SIGNAL CORP., a Delaware corporation,)))))
Defendants.)

DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER C. DAVIS

- I, Christopher C. Davis, declare as follows:
- 1. My name is Christopher C. Davis. I am over twenty-one years of age and am fully competent to make this Declaration. All statements contained herein are true and correct within my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am an associate attorney with the law firm of Merchant & Gould P.C. and am a member of the Wisconsin State Bar since 2007. I am entering my fifth year as an intellectual property litigation attorney.
- 3. Prior to attending law school, I was a software engineer from 1997 to 2004. I worked extensively with client-server applications and databases. This experience is especially relevant to this case.
 - 4. I am counsel for Defendant Federal Signal Corp. in this case.
- 5. I prepared for and took the deposition of David Lowrey in New York, New York as Cooper's Rule 30(b)(6) designee for financial topics. The only reason I travelled to New

York was for that deposition. Following my return, I spent time analyzing the results of the deposition and planning for the brief filed by Federal Signal on December 11, 2011 for sanctions against the Plaintiff, Cooper Notification.

- 6. On November 17, 2011, I spent 5.5 hours on analyzing previous 30(b)(6) financial expert testimony and preparing to depose Mr. Lowrey as the replacement 30(b)(6) witness.
- 7. On November 21, 2011, I spent 8.6 hours analyzing documents and preparing for Mr. Lowrey's 30(b)(6) deposition.
- 8. On November 22, 2011, I spent 7.7 hours preparing for, taking, and analyzing the results of Mr. Lowrey's 30(b)(6) deposition with fellow counsel.
- 9. On November 23, 2011, I spent 0.3 hours consolidating my notes and exhibits from Mr. Lowrey's 30(b)(6) deposition and providing them for use by other attorneys.
- 10. On November 27, 2011, I spent 0.4 hours analyzing the results of the two financial 30(b)(6) witnesses with Attorney Tom Leach and planning how we would go about seeking sanctions for duplicate work.
 - 11. My regular hourly rate for this matter is \$285.00 per hour.
- 12. The time spent related to preparing for and deposing Mr. Lowrey under Rule 30(b)(6) and planning the Motion for Sanctions has been, or will be entered into the firm's billing records, and have been, or will be, billed to Federal Signal.
- 13. My round-trip coach-class plane ticket to New York for Mr. Lowrey's deposition cost \$1,349.40.
 - 14. My expenses in New York for cabs, lodging, and food amounted to \$491.37.

15. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of my flight itinerary and receipts for my lodging and expenses accrued during my November 21-22, 2011 trip to New York to depose Mr. Lowrey under Rule 30(b)(6).

Executed this $2/\sqrt[3]{}$ day of December, 2011.

Christopher C. Davis

Merchant Gould Confidential

Me	rchant & Gould Tr	avel Expense Report
NAME: Chris Davis	Timekeeper#	TODAY'S DATE: 11/28/11
TRAVEL DATES: 11/21/11 - 11/22/1	11	ACCOUNT TO CHARGE: (Client, Promo/CLE, Other): 15730.0050USZA
DESCRIPTION: Travel to New Y	ork for deposition	

	properties:		<u> </u>	CENSES (SE		
MONTH/DATE	Meals	Expense Amount	DESCRIPTION	OTHER	Expense Amount	DESCRIPTION
	МВ			Cab	\$39.40	Cab from airport to hotel.
	e L			Tips		
11/21/11	s D	\$42.00	Dinner	Phone		
	Snacks			Other	\$1.75	Lightrali fare to airport.
	Bev.			Other		
	МВ	\$20.42	Breakfast before deposition.	Cab	\$40.79	Cab from hotel to airport.
	a L	\$10.93	Lunch	Tips		
11/22/11	s D	\$19.47	Dinner at airport before flight.	Phone		
	Snacks			Other	\$1.75	Lightrail fare to downtown.
	Bev.	***		Other		
	МВ			Çab		
	a L			Tips		
•	s D			Phone		
	Snacks			Other		
	Bev.			Other		
	МВ			Cab		
	a L			Tips		
	5 D			Phone		
•	Snacks			Other		
	Bev.			Other		
•	Мв			Cab		
	e a L			Tips		
	s D			Phone		
	Snacks			Other		
•	Bev.		·	Other		
	МВ			Cab		
				Tips		
	s D			Phone		
	Snacks			Other		
	Bev.			Other		
		\$92,82		Total	\$83.69	
	Total	マラム・U A				and the second of the second o

Line#1

.ine#3

				RECONCILIATION OF EXPENSES
TRIP EXPENSES	Expense Amount	EXP TYPE	L#	TOTAL
Airfare FR/TO		MEALS	2	\$92.82
Airfare FR/TO		OTHER	4	\$83.69
REGISTRATION		EXPENSE	6	\$314.86
HOTEL EXPENSE (EXCLUDING MEALS)	\$314.86	TOTAL		\$491.37
CAR RENTAL		MEALS	TOTAL <	\$92.82
PARKING			S/LESS MEALS	\$398.55
MILEAGE Miles Rate 0.555	\$0.00	"i declare (under penalties of perjury) this account of business expenses is accura conforms with all applicable M&G and IRS regulations. The expenses are actual, re		
OTHER -		was previously r	eimbursed by M&G	formance of my official duties. No portion of this claim or from any other source or will be paid from any other
OTHER -		source in the fut		MED.
OTHER -		TRAVELER'S	SIGNATURE:	
TOTAL \$\$	\$314.86			

Page 1 of 1

130 West 46th Street New York, NY 10036 212.485.2400 :Phone 212.485.2789 :Fax 877.692.6873 :Reservations www.themusehotel.com



DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER C

Room Number: 1005
Daily Rate: 260.00
Room Type: KGDX
No. of Guests: 1 / 0

US

ARRIVAL	e(d)=P/ARTE	URE CREDINEARD	. CONTRACEPLAN	C/AVERGOR	Y AGGOUNT .
11/21/11	11/22/11	XXXXXXXXXXX	T24	ICNS	
DATE	EY@@MkN(@	DESCRIPTION -	astastange.		AMOUNT
11/21/11	1005	WIRELESS INTERNET/BUSINESS CTI	1005/211515/4		\$13.00
11/21/11	1005	ROOM CHARGE	#1005 DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	1C	\$260.00
11/21/11	1005	TAX - ROOM - CITY	TAX - ROOM - CITY		\$15.28
11/21/11	1005	TAX - ROOM - STATE	TAX - ROOM - STATE		\$23.08
11/21/11	1005	TAX - ROOM - LOCAL	TAX - ROOM - LOCAL		\$3.50
11/22/11	1005	AMERICAN EXPRESS	AMERICAN EXPRESS		(\$314.86)

CREDIT DUE:

(\$0.00)

--ORIGINAL--7033 MED# 236221 DRIVER: CUSTOMER COPY I O NEW YORK 12/31/07 TR 1103 HACK # 00440936 MED # 8L56 TRIP # 16281 Regular Fare RATE 1:\$ 26.10 DATE: 11/22/2011 START TIME 15:54 SURCH: \$ 1.00 START TIME 15:54
END TIME 16:38
RATE NO. 1 1
STAND. CITY RATE
MILES R1 9.87
FARE1 \$ 27.30
ST. SUR 0.50
Mid-Tun 4.80
Tip/Other 8.19 4.80 QMTnl :\$ STSRCH: \$ 0.50 7.00 TIP: \$ TOTAL: \$ 39.40 CARD TYPE: 1 8.19 40.79 GR. TOT. KXXXXXXXXX AUTH: 579532 Contact TLC Dial 3-1-1 THANKS CARDNUMBER: 3 TO CONTACT TLC 537343 AUTHOR.: DIAL 3-1-1

********* Nicollet Mall TVM10205 Mon 21 Nov 11 01:26PM Payment type: Purchase:Full Local Fare Quantity: 1 Amount: 1.75 Credit Card #: Auth #: Ref #: Transaction #:0000103075

> DUNHILL CAFE 109 W 45TH 80 NEW YORK NY 10036 212-944-8484

REPRINT

Merchant ID: 000004064732 Term ID: 00509000 Ref #: 0024

Sale

新国职会员协议员员			
	Entry	Method:	Swipe

Amount: Tip:

Total:

11/22/11

10.93

...

Inv #: 000024 Appr Code: 545240 Batch#: 000867

Apprvd: Online

I agree to pay above total amount according to card issuer agreement (Merchant agreement if credit voucher)

DAVIS/CHRISTOPHE C

Herchant Copy

* NOT VALID FOR TRAVEL * ********

LindberghTerminal TVM11302 Tue 22 Nov 11 08:35PM

Payment type: Purchase:Full Local Fare

- 1 Quantity:

1.75 · Amount:

Credit Card #:

**** 586756 030000818097 Ref #: Transaction #:0000906088

1565 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036

ROXY DELICATESSEN

11/21/2011

Merchant ID:

20:53:57 000000000580625 02843907

Terminal ID: 6312559222

CREDIT CARD

CARD # XXXXXXXXXX INVOICE 0042 Batch #: 000585 Approval Code: 560678 Entry Method: Swiped Mode: Online

PRE-TIP AMT

TIP

TOTAL AMOUNT

\$35.82

CUSTOMER COPY

Cibo Express Gourmet Market LaGuardia Airport Terminal D OTG Management

116	Rosa	
37	59 NOV22'11 5:	21PM
1 1 1	Nestle Water BRBL SW Chic S KND Al Ap CC PeanButMC LG	2.39 9.75 2.99 2.75
	Food TAX AMOUNT PAID XXXXXXXXXX	17.88 1.59 19.47 XX/XX 19.47

Thank You...... We want your feedback Please Call (866) 508 3558 or visit www.OTGManagement.com NIOS SWIRL SIP SAVOR 130 W 46th St 212-485-2999

Exhibit E Redacted in its entirety

01: 11707733.1 070582.1001

Exhibit F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Civil Action No. 09-865-LPS
TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation, EVERBRIDGE INC., a Delaware corporation, RAVE WIRELESS INC., a Delaware corporation, and FEDERAL SIGNAL CORP., a Delaware corporation,)))))
Defendants.)

DECLARATION OF KRISTIN M. DRIEMAN

- I, Kristin M. Drieman, declare as follows:
- 1. My name is Kristin M. Drieman. I am over twenty-one years of age and am fully competent to make this Declaration. All statements contained herein are true and correct within my personal knowledge.
 - 2. I am a paralegal with the law firm of Merchant & Gould P.C.
- 3. I assisted in compiling exhibits and editing of the brief filed by Federal Signal on December 12, 2011 for sanctions against the Plaintiff, Cooper Notification.
- 4. On December 12, 2011, I spent 4.1 hours on compiling documents and editing Federal Signal's letter brief for Sanctions, Docket No. 341.
 - 5. My regular hourly rate for this matter is \$205.00 per hour.
- 6. The time spent related to the Motion for Sanctions have been, or will be entered into the firm's billing records, and have been, or will be, billed to Federal Signal.

- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the court reporter and videographer invoice from the deposition of David Lowry taken on November 22, 2011.
 - 8. The total cost for court reporter and videographer services is \$2216.21

Executed this 21st day of December, 2011.

Kristin M. Drieman

EXHIBIT A

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

920 Second Ave South Suite 110 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Phone: 612,338,1181

Kristin Drieman

Merchant & Gould 80 South Eighth Street 3200 IDS Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215 INVOICE

INVOICE DATE	JOB NUMBER
12/16/2011	1439-194048
REPORTER(S)	CASE NUMBER
LNY	09-865 (LPS)
	12/16/2011 REPORTER(S)

CASE CAPTION

Cooper Notification, Inc. vs. Federal Signal Corp., et al.

TERMS

Immediate, sold FOB Merrill facility

VIDEOTAPING SERVICES FOR THE DEPOSITION OF:

David Lowry

Set-up & First Hour Additional Deposition Hr Tape Stock - Digital

Video on CD

Shipping & Handling

125.00 4.50 Hours @ 36.67/Hour 165.02

3.00 @ 13.33 39.99 5.00 Hours @ 60.00/Hour 300.00

7.50

TOTAL DUE >>> 637.51

The cost of the videographer has been split with Fenwick & West, LLP and Bracewell & Giuliani, LLP.

Deposition Location: New York, NY

ORDERED BY: Chris Davis

Merchant & Gould 80 South Eighth Street

3200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215

TAX ID NO.: 20-2665382 (612) 332-5300 Fax (612) 332-9081

Please detach bottom portion and return with payment.

Kristin Drieman Merchant & Gould 80 South Eighth Street 3200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215

Invoice No.: 14135201 Date : 12/16/2011

TOTAL DUE : 637.51

Job No. : 1439-194048 Case No. : 09-865 (LPS)

Cooper Notification, Inc. vs. Federa

Remit To: LegaLink, Inc. PO Box 90473

Chicago, IL 60696-0473

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

920 Second Ave South Suite 110 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Phone: 612,338,1181

Kristin Drieman Merchant & Gould 80 South Eighth Street 3200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215

INVOICE

INVOICE NO.	INVOICE DATE	JOB NUMBER	
14135199	12/16/2011	1438-194047	
JOB DATE	REPORTER(S)	CASE NUMBER	
11/22/2011	LNY	09-865 (LPS)	
CASE CAPTION			

Cooper Notification, Inc. vs. Federal Signal Corp., et al.

TERMS

Immediate, sold FOB Merrill facility

TOTAL DUE >>>

ORIGINAL + 1 COPY OF TRANSCRIPT AND WORD INDE	X OF:		
30(b)(6) David Lowry-HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	248 Pages @	4.45/Page	1,103.60
ATTENDANCE			40.00
Unedited ASCII (RT)	221.00 Pages @	1.50/Page	331.50
TotalTranscript			45.00
TotalTranscript Archive			7.50
TotalTranscript Exhibits	109.00 Pages @	.40/Page	43.60
Process/Delivery			7.50
		_	

1,578.70

Original & 2 copies has been split with Bracewell and Guiliani LLP.

Attendance has been split with with Fenwick & West, LLP and Bracewell & Giuliani, LLP.

Deposition Location: New York, NY

ORDERED BY: Chris Davis

Merchant & Gould

80 South Eighth Street

3200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215

TAX ID NO.: 20-2665382 (612) 332-5300 Fax (612) 332-9081

Please detach bottom portion and return with payment.

Kristin Drieman Merchant & Gould 80 South Eighth Street 3200 IDS Center Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215

Date : 12/16/2011 **TOTAL DUE** : 1,578.70

Invoice No.:

Job No. : 1438-194047Case No. : 09-865 (LPS)

Cooper Notification, Inc. vs. Federa

14135199

Remit To: LegaLink, Inc. PO Box 90473

Chicago, IL 60696-0473

Exhibit G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Civil Action No. 09-865-LPS
TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation, EVERBRIDGE INC., a Delaware corporation, RAVE WIRELESS INC., a Delaware corporation, and FEDERAL SIGNAL CORP., a Delaware corporation,))))))))
Defendants.)

DECLARATION OF AARON M. JOHNSON

- I, Aaron M. Johnson, declare as follows:
- 1. My name is Aaron M. Johnson. I am over twenty-one years of age and am fully competent to make this Declaration. All statements contained herein are true and correct within my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am an associate attorney with the law firm of Merchant & Gould P.C. and am a member of the Minnesota State Bar since 2005. I clerked two years for the Chief Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota before entering the private practice of law in 2007. I am entering my fifth year as an intellectual property litigation attorney.
 - 3. I possess both a B.A. and a M.S. degree in chemistry.
 - 4. I am counsel for Defendant Federal Signal Corp. in this case.
- 5. I assisted in the drafting, researching, and editing of the brief filed by Federal Signal on December 11, 2011 for sanctions against the Plaintiff, Cooper Notification.

- 6. On December 9, 2011, I spent 3.1 hours on drafting, reviewing documents, researching, and editing Federal Signal's letter brief for Sanctions, Docket No. 341.
- 7. On December 11, 2011, I spent 1.2 hours on drafting, researching, and editing Federal Signal's letter brief for Sanctions, Docket No. 341.
- 8. On December 20, 2011, I spent 1.3 hours on reviewing documents and drafting and editing Federal Signal Corp.'s December 22, 2011 Brief in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and this Declaration.
- 9. On December 22, 2011, I spent 3.0 hours on reviewing documents and drafting and editing Federal Signal Corp.'s December 22, 2011 Brief in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and this Declaration.
 - 10. My regular hourly rate for this matter is \$370.00 per hour.
- 11. The time spent related to the Motion for Sanctions have been, or will be entered into the firm's billing records, and have been, or will be, billed to Federal Signal.
- 12. Attached as Exhibit H to this Motion is a true and correct copy of portions of the 2011 AIPLA Report of the Economic Survey, which provides average attorney billing rates for the practice of Intellectual Property law.

Executed this 2 day of December, 2011.

Aaron M. Johnson

Exhibit H

AIPLA

Report of the Economic Survey

2011

Prepared Under Direction of Law Practice Management Committee

American Intellectual Property Law Association 241 18th Street South, Suite 700 Arlington, Virginia 22202 www.aipla.org

Private Firm, Partner

Average hourly billing rate in 2010 (Q34)

Private Firm, Partner

		Average hourly billing rate in 2010							
			Maar	First Quartile	Median	Quartile			
		Number of	Mean	25%	(Midpoint)	75%			
		Individuals	(Average)	\$325	\$410	\$535			
ll Individuals		622	\$441	ISD	\$180	ISD			
	Fewer than 5	3	\$210		\$275	\$325			
Years of Intellectual Property Law Experience	5-6	9	\$345	\$240	\$303	\$336			
	7-9	38	\$321	\$279		\$425			
	10-14	137	· \$383	\$303	\$360	\$550			
	15-24	224	\$456	\$350	\$430				
	25-34	147	\$481	\$375	\$450	\$595			
	35 or More	62	\$524	\$380	\$550	\$653			
	Boston CMSA	29	\$561	\$390	\$560	\$688			
.	NYC CMSA	43	\$557	\$400	\$590	\$675			
	Philadelphia CMSA	26	\$457	\$374	\$450	\$513			
	Washington, DC CMSA	107	\$483	\$360	\$460	\$600			
		23	\$355	\$265	\$340	\$420			
	Other East	16	\$396	\$326	\$383	\$450			
	Metro Southeast		\$374	\$328	\$369	\$419			
Location	Other Southeast	12		\$376	\$423	\$500			
Location	Chicago CMSA	52	\$447	\$344	\$396	\$455			
	MinneSt. Paul PMSA	26	\$409	\$280	\$333	\$410			
	Other Central	132	\$351		\$475	\$600			
	Texas	46	\$492	\$380		\$603			
	Los Angeles CMSA	21	\$500	\$385	\$475	<u> </u>			
	San Francisco CMSA	27	\$571	\$395	\$585	\$700			
	Other West	62	\$368	\$300	\$350	\$421			
	Biotechnology	29	\$490	\$350	\$535	\$603			
	Chemical	42	\$373	\$298	\$336	\$455			
	Computer Hardware	10	\$583	\$388	\$600	\$781			
	Computer Software	44	\$414	\$300	\$377	\$525			
IP Technical	<u> </u>	67	\$454	\$330	\$440	\$550			
Specialization (>=50%)	Electrical	147	\$395	\$320	\$380	\$450			
	Mechanical	20	\$461	\$321	\$395	\$579			
	Medical/ Health Care	17	\$523	\$375	\$525	\$690			
	Pharmaceutical		\$451	\$348	\$443	\$588			
	Other areas	6	\$247	\$180	\$238	\$303			
	Younger than 35	10		\$270	\$322	\$390			
	35-39	35	\$336		\$360	\$485			
	40-44	114		\$309	\$410	\$500			
Age	45-49	153		\$320		\$520			
	50-54	98		\$349	\$433				
·	55-59	89	\$472	\$365	\$450	\$552			
	60 or Older	120	\$499	\$376	\$473	\$602			
	Male	566	\$442	\$325	\$410	\$53			
Gender	Female	50	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$295		\$558			
	Bachelor's Degree	421		\$325		\$528			
Highest Non-Law Degree	Master's Degree	118		\$329	\$423	\$52			
		38		\$312	\$395	\$55			
	Doctorate Degree White/Caucasian	562				\$53			
		1 502				\$62			
	Black/African American	11							
	Hispanic/Latino								
Ethnicity	Asian/Pacific Islander	16	3 3441						
	North American	· ·	i ISD	ISD	ISD	ISD			
	Indian/Native Canadian	- -	7 \$319	\$230	\$300	\$40			
	Blended		9 \$452						
	Other								
	1-2	2							
	3-5	8							
Full-time	6-10	7.							
Intellectual Property lawyers	11-25	14							
and agents in the		8	6 \$434			\rightarrow			
firm or	51-100	11							
corporation	101-150		3 \$586	5 \$46	5 \$540				
	101-100		5 \$600		8 \$600	\$60			

Average hourly billing rate in 2010 (Q34)

Private Firm, Associate

		Average hourly billing rate in 2010					
		Number of Individuals	Mean (Average)	First Quartile 25%	Median (Midpoint)	Third Quartile 75%	
All Individuals		516	\$320	\$225	\$300	\$395	
ui marridado	Fewer than 5	219	\$264	\$200	\$250	\$325	
Years of Intellectual Property Law Experience	5-6	96	\$306	\$240	\$288	\$370	
	7-9	69	\$362	\$275	\$345	\$435	
	10-14	69	\$406	\$300	\$395	\$503	
	15-24	39	\$406	\$310	\$380	\$525	
	25-34	8	\$496	\$413	\$468	\$560	
	35 or More	5	\$439	\$240	\$325	\$695	
	Boston CMSA	24	\$401	\$310	\$350	\$539	
	NYC CMSA	31	\$433	\$300	\$410	\$545	
	Philadelphia CMSA	19	\$324	\$275	\$330	\$375	
	Washington, DC CMSA	102	\$375	\$289	\$368	\$450	
	Other East	22	\$258	\$198	\$215	\$291	
	Metro Southeast	18	\$310	\$255	\$314	\$364	
		14	\$249	\$204	\$240	\$300	
Location	Other Southeast	33	\$334	\$273	\$315	\$410	
•	Chicago CMSA		\$272	\$200	\$281	\$319	
	MinneSt. Paul PMSA	28	\$272	\$189	\$230	\$27	
	Other Central	94		\$250	\$350	\$40	
	Texas	35	\$345		\$355	\$44	
	Los Angeles CMSA	18	\$383	\$305	\$370	\$47	
	San Francisco CMSA	19	\$361	\$260		\$31	
	Other West	59	\$263	\$190	\$240	\$37	
	Biotechnology	26	\$319	\$229	\$305		
	Chemical	37	\$318	\$270	\$300	\$35	
	Computer Hardware	13	\$353	\$213	\$375	\$41	
IP Technical	Computer Software	60	\$335	\$240	\$318	\$40	
Specialization	Electrical	57	\$330	\$230	\$325	\$40	
(>=50%)	Mechanical	102	\$273	\$200	\$268	\$33	
	Medical/ Health Care	17	\$291	\$225	\$275	\$32	
	Pharmaceutical	23	\$345	\$275	\$325	\$41	
	Other areas	10	\$355	\$253	\$325	\$46	
	Younger than 35	195	\$273	\$200	\$260	\$32	
	35-39	129	\$322	\$220	\$300	\$41	
	40-44	94	\$363	\$275	\$365	\$43	
Age	45-49	39	\$332	\$250	\$315	\$40	
90	50-54	29	\$358	\$270	\$310	\$45	
	55-59	12	\$391	\$350	\$383	\$43	
	60 or Older	13	\$452	\$265	\$460	\$59	
	Male	409	\$317	\$225	\$300	\$38	
Gender	Female	105	\$329	\$225	\$315	\$41	
Highest Non-Law Degree	Bachelor's Degree	329	\$311	\$220	\$295	\$38	
	Master's Degree	108	\$327	\$230	\$300	\$38	
	Doctorate Degree	63	\$344	\$270	\$325	\$42	
	White/Caucasian	460	\$320	\$225	\$300	\$39	
	Black/African American	5	\$405	\$307	\$425	\$49	
	Hispanic/Latino	4	\$295	\$201	\$223	\$46	
Ethnicity	Asian/Pacific Islander	25	\$310	\$238	\$305	\$34	
	North American					ISD	
	Indian/Native Canadian	2	ISD	ISD	ISD		
	Blended	8	\$317	\$293	\$300	\$3	
	Other	4	\$269	\$243	\$255	\$30	
	1-2	12	\$245	\$166	\$213	\$33	
	3-5	42	\$249	\$183	\$238	\$30	
Full-time	6-10	55		\$200	\$250	\$2	
Intellectual	11-25	106		\$205	\$265	\$3	
Property lawyers and agents in the	26-50	96		\$233	\$310	\$40	
firm or	51-100	110		\$275	\$350	\$40	
corporation	101-150	24		\$281	\$340	\$4	
	More than 150	55		\$320	\$390	\$4	